

Applicant(s): NATAN VISHLITZKY, HANA MORESHET, MARTIN FARLEY,
IZHAR SHARON AND ELIZABETH C. PATAPOUTIAN
Serial No.: 10/692,120
Filed: October 23, 2003

REMARKS

This case was examined with claims 15 through 38. No claims were allowed. Claim 15 is amended. Claims 15 through 38 remain in the application.

Applicant requests reconsideration and reexamination of the above-identified application in view of the amendments made to the specification and claims. The following remarks state Applicant's bases for making this request and are organized according to the Examiner's Action by paragraph number.

Examiner's Action, Paragraph 1

The Examiner rejects claims 15 through 26 under 35 U.S.C. 101 alleging that the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Applicants have amended claim 15 in a manner to overcome this rejection.

Examiner's Action, Paragraphs 2 and 3

The Examiner rejects claims 15 through 38 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 through 14 of U. S. Patent No. 6,665,738.

Applicants are filing a Terminal Disclaimer.

Applicant(s): NATAN VISHLITZKY, HANA MORESHET, MARTIN FARLEY,
IZHAR SHARON AND ELIZABETH C. PATAPOUTIAN
Serial No.: 10/692,120
Filed: October 23, 2003

Applicants thank the Examiner for his courtesy during a telephonic interview with Applicants' representative on August 3, 2005 during which he clarified his argument of non-statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. This amendment includes all the arguments argued for patentability during that interview.

Summary

Applicants have amended claim 15 and are filing a Terminal Disclaimer. Applicants therefore respectfully request the Examiner to allow the application with claims 15 through 38.

If there are any questions, we urge the Examiner to call us collect.

Respectfully Submitted,



GEORGE A. HERBSTER,
Attorney, Reg. No. 24,002
40 Beach Street
Manchester, MA 01944
(978) 526-8111